

SECRET

DDI-04896/84/1

ADDI CHRONO

INTELLIGENCE PRODUCERS COUNCIL

19 OCT 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director, GDIP Staff

FROM: Richard J. Kerr
Chairman, Intelligence Producers Council

SUBJECT: Review of Evaluation of Data Base Adequacy

REFERENCE: GDIP Itr S-236/D-GDIP dtd 22 August 1984

25X1

1. Thank you for the opportunity to review the GDIP Evaluation of Data Base Adequacy. I invited participation by State/INR, CIA/DDI, and the National Intelligence Council. The responses are summarized below, with more detailed comments offered in the attachment.

a. State/INR indicated that, while the document has no utility for them, the presentation appears to be fairly accurate and complete.

b. Comments from National Intelligence Council members consisted of several additions to the tabulations of shortfalls.

c. CIA's view is that key definitions are vague; that the document may be subject to misuse or misunderstanding outside DIA; and that the maps do not necessarily reflect accurately the Community's collective knowledge, or lack thereof, of the many topics presented.

2. I recommend that a reappraisal of the Evaluation of Data Base Adequacy be accomplished following completion of the Core Intelligence Capability Study.

25X1

Richard J. Kerr

Attachment:
As stated

All portions classified SECRET.

25X1

SECRET

SECRET

ATTACHMENT

Evaluation of Data Base Adequacy

<u>Page</u>	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Recommendation</u>
I	"Management Tool" not defined.	Describe how document is used as a management tool, and for what purpose.
5-9	Summary statements that indicate "no change" suggest failure to make effective use of personnel added to NFIP over the years.	Reappraise rating criteria.
11	Rating terms are vague.	Redefine the standards and clarify the terminology.
13-21	Summaries are too broad to be useful.	Reassess the utility of using aggregates.
25 et seq	There is no definition or criterion for inclusion/exclusion of shortfalls.	Define shortfalls and provide a sense of priority.
29	USSR	Add "Doctrine for protracted conventional war options" to shortfalls.
33	Warsaw Pact	Add "SLCMs in theater nuclear war" and "Soviet intentions, doctrine, and forces and facilities in crises that could lead to war with the West" to shortfalls.
34	Difficult to believe that the Community does not know about US basing agreements.	Reassess or clarify shortfall.
55	Difficult to believe that only Malaysia is rated adequate, or that Canada, West Europe, and USSR are rated the same.	Reassess or clarify ratings.
67	It is surprising that UK is rated only marginal--below the USSR.	Reassess or clarify ratings.

SECRET

SECRET

<u>Page</u>	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Recommendation</u>
75	Use of the term "Current Intelligence" is inappropriate.	Suggest another descriptor. Shortfalls are a mix of I&W, current, and basic intelligence.
100	Shortfalls are not all S&T.	Review shortfalls for relevance.
104-107/ 128/131	Shortfalls suggest nuclear proliferation is a subset of nuclear energy programs.	Suggest merger of two topics.
114-115/ 118/119	Only reference to computers, etc., is link with technology transfer.	Suggest a separate shortfall if appropriate.
118	USSR	Add "Status of non-acoustic ASW R&D" to shortfalls.

SECRET

SECRET

SUBJECT: Review of Evaluation of Data Base Adequacy

Distribution:

Original - D/GDIP

1 - DIA/VIP

1 - ADDI

1 - DDI Registry

1 - IPC Staff (Chrono)

1 - IPC Staff (Subject)

DDI/IPCStaff [] (15 October 1984)

25X1

SECRET